

FECEIVED GENTRAL PAX CENTER MAR 2 8 2005

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LEGAL DEPARTMENT PHONE: (412) 767-2400 FAX: (412) 767-8899

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET FROM: Examiner Dennis W. Ruhl James R. Stevenson DATE COMPANY: USPTO Group Art Unit 3629 MARCH 28, 2005 TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: FAX NUMBER: 703-872-9306 20 SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: PHONE NUMBER: VI/02-022 YOUR REPERENCE NUMBER: RIG Serial Number 10/729,434 Restriction Response ☐ ORGENT ☐ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEASE COMMENT ■ PLEASE REPLY ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE NOTES/COMMENTS:

*** PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT VIA RETURN FACSIMILE ***

The documents transmitted by this facsimile message may contain confidential and/or privileged information, which is intended only for the use of the addressee named above. If you are not such addressee, any disclosure, photocopying, distribution, or use of such documents or information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile message in error, please immediately notify us by telephone so that we can arrange to retrieve such documents. Thank you for your kind cooperation!

One Medrad Drive Indianola, PA 15051-0780 United States of America

CERTIFICATE OF Applicant(s): KALAFU	SIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)	Docket No. V1/02-022					
Serial No. 10/729,434	Filing Date 12/6/2003	Examiner Dennis W. Ruhl	Group Art Unit 3629				
Invention: DEVICES, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR IMPROVING VESSEL ACCESS							
I hereby certify that this Response to Restriction Requirement and related pages (Identify type of correspondence) (Identify type of correspondence) (Identify type of correspondence)							
is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. (703) 872-9306 on March 28, 2005 (Date)							
James R. Stevenson (Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)							
(Signature)							
Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.							

CENTRAL PAY GENTER

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAR 2 8 2005

In re Application of:)	Group Art Unit:	: 3629	
KALAFUT et al.		Ś	Examiner: Ruhl, Dennis W.		
Serial No. 10/729,434)	Confirm. No. 8371		
Filed:	6 December 2003)))	Docket No.: VI	/02-022	
Title:	DEVICES, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR IMPROVING VESSEL ACCESS)))	Date: 28 Ma	erch 2005	

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In an Office Action mailed 28 February 2005, the Examiner alleges that the application contains claims directed to two inventions. Specifically, on page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner stated that:

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35. U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-67, drawn to a surgical apparatus, classified in class 600 subclass 407.
 - Claims 68-72 drawn to a method of accessing a vasculature, classified in class
 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP §806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different method than recited in claim 68, such as for the setting of a broken bone of a patient or the cleaning of a wound.

Applicants herein respond to the requirement for restriction.

Response To Restriction Requirement U.S. Application Serial No. 10/724,434 Attorney Docket No. VI/02-022 Page 2 of 18

I. Formal Response to the Restriction Requirement

Formally responding to the restriction requirement, Applicants choose to restrict the application to the Group I claims with traverse for the reasons specified in the Remarks section below. In other words, Applicants elect to prosecute claims 1-67, with claims 1 and 33 being the independent claims in the group. Claims 68-72 are thus hereby withdrawn provisionally, with the understanding that they will be reinstated should it be determined that the relevant regulations require rescission of the restriction requirement.

The claims are reprinted below for the Examiner's convenience. (The claims are set forth below in the manner required by 37 C.F.R. §1.121, as amended July 30, 2003.)